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April 11, 2016
TO: Casey Anderson, Chair
Elizabeth M. Hewlett,Vice-Chair
FROM: Patricia C. Barney, Executive Director


SUBJECT: Personnel Management Review (PMR), Fiscal Year 2015
I am pleased to submit the Commission's 22nd edition of the Personnel Management Review (PMR) for fiscal year 2015. This document is a valuable tool for both workforce planning and budget work sessions with the County Councils. The PMR is organized into the following sections:

- Trends section presents statistics and comparative analyses on the Commission's workforce in various categories for FY 15 in comparison to the previous five to ten fiscal years.
- Selected Highlights section provides an overview on key information about our workforce.
- Composition section includes details on full- and part-time career employees, such as gender, race/ethnicity, age, employment category, length of service, coverage by collective bargaining, and retirement eligibility.
- Compensation section provides details on wages and grade distribution by employment category, gender, race/ethnicity, and average salaries by grade, number of employees at top of grade, promotions, and a comparison of Cost of Living Adjustments.
- Benefits section contains data on enrollment in medical plans, participation in the Employees' Retirement System and leave usage, and participation in the Tuition Assistance Program.
- Turnover section provides details on reasons for leaving by job category, gender, and race/ethnicity.
- Non-Career section presents demographics on seasonal, intermittent, temporary, and term employees.
- Department Demographics section presents workforce statistics and related data on employees by department.
- Appendix section includes a listing of race/ethnic classifications, job categories, current pay schedules, and medical plan enrollment costs.

The PMR is available for review and online at www.mncppc.org. The Personnel Management Review can be retrieved under the column heading entitled "Quick Links". Internal staff may access the PMR via intranet on inSite. Select "Our Organization," and the Department of Human Resources and Management link. The PMR can be retrieved under the section entitled "Other Documents".

The Commission remains committed to delivering an informative report. We welcome your feedback! Please address comments/questions to Derrick.Peoples@mncppc.org.

## THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT REVIEW

## TRENDS

1. Between FY16 and FY20, 33.6\% of all career employees (full-time and part-time) will be eligible for normal retirement.
2. In the Officials/Administrators category, between FY16 and FY20, 74.7\% of employees are eligible for normal retirement, which is roughly a $1 \%$ decrease from FY14 (75.7\%). Succession planning is critical to ensure the preservation of institutional knowledge.
3. Over the past five years, there have been small incremental changes in the Commission's demographics.

Five-Year Demographic Distribution

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | $4.0 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $5.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Black | $36.0 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| White | $54.0 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $51.9 \%$ | $52.4 \%$ |
| American Indian | $1.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | $39.2 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ |
| Women | $60.8 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 1977 | 1994 | 2002 | 2049 |

4. In comparison to FY14, the percentage of employees in the 50 to 60+ age category remained steady at 46.3\%.
5. M-NCPPC Average Annual Turnover Trends
FY11 to FY15

As indicated below, average turnover rose in FY11 due to employees electing to retire under the Retirement Incentive Program. However, after FY11, average turnover declined, stabilized, and decreased slightly in FY15.
FY11
FY12
FY13
FY14
FY15
8.0\%
6.0\%
6.8\%
6.5\%
5.5\%
6.

## Five-Year Normal Retirement Trends 2011-2015

As there is a direct relationship between employee retirement and turnover, the normal retirement trend also rose in FY11, and varied in the four fiscal years following FY11.

| Fiscal Year | Number <br> Retired | Percent <br> Retired |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY11 | 75 | $4.0 \%$ |
| FY12 | 38 | $2.0 \%$ |
| FY13 | 58 | $3.0 \%$ |
| FY14 | 45 | $2.0 \%$ |
| FY15 | 51 | $2.5 \%$ |

## 7. Full-Time Employee Salary Trends

For FY15, as indicated in the following chart, the Commission's average salary for full-time career employees increased from \$66,311 to \$68,616. White and female average salaries continue to be higher than minority and male salaries.

In FY15, the average salary for full-time career white employees was $\$ 71,078$, and $\$ 65,951$ for full-time career minority employees. The average salary for minorities have been consistently below the average salary for whites in the period identified in the following chart. Although a salary disparity exists between whites and minorities, this salary disparity has decreased, and thus, improved over time. In FY06, for instance, the average salary for whites was $\$ 58,641$, and $\$ 50,957$ for minorities. At that time, there was a $15.1 \%$ salary disparity between whites and minorities. In FY15, the average salary for whites was \$71,078 and \$65,951 for minorities; presently, that is a salary disparity of $7.7 \%$.

With respect to the average salary for males and females, in FY15, the average salary for females was $\$ 72,676$, and $\$ 66,246$ for males. According to the following chart, the average salary for males has been consistently lower than the average salary for females. The reason for the lower average
salary among males is that there are a large number of males (i.e., 355) in the Service/Maintenance employment category and (i.e., 172) in the Skilled Craft employment category, in comparison to a small number of women (i.e., 40) in the Service/Maintenance employment category and no women in the Skilled Craft employment category.

Additionally, as average salaries across-the-board rose during the period identified in the following chart, average salaries declined slightly as a result of the furlough plan that was implemented in FY11.

## Full-time Employee Salary Trends

| \$75,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 70,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 65,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$60,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$55,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$45,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$40,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$35,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$30,000         <br> 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Commission-wide | \$55,378 | \$58,048 | \$61,378 | \$63,565 | \$64,766 | \$64,181 | \$64,100 | \$63,222 | \$66,311 | \$68,616 |
| - females | \$56,689 | \$59,364 | \$63,581 | \$65,732 | \$67,138 | \$63,348 | \$67,064 | \$66,295 | \$69,704 | \$72,676 |
| $\ldots$ males | \$54,616 | \$57,272 | \$60,087 | \$62,255 | \$63,262 | \$62,159 | \$62,303 | \$61,385 | \$64,295 | \$66,246 |
| * whites | \$58,641 | \$61,623 | \$65,509 | \$67,578 | \$68,260 | \$65,309 | \$67,449 | \$66,352 | \$69,271 | \$71,078 |
| -minorities | \$50,957 | \$53,370 | \$56,428 | \$58,912 | \$60,736 | \$59,568 | \$62,265 | \$60,806 | \$64,217 | \$65,951 |

8. In FY14, the Recruitment and Selection Services Unit predicted that days-to-fill would trend up slightly in FY15. To be proactive and reduce the number of days-to-fill, Recruitment and Selection Services set an aggressive goal of lowering the days-to-fill to 97 days from the previous year's average of 102 days. The approach included contacting hiring managers regarding the status of their positions and requesting them to defer or close the position if there was not an immediate intention to fill the vacancy.

At the beginning of FY15, as illustrated in the chart below, it took an average of 164 days to fill positions; and throughout the remainder of the fiscal year, average days to fill positions varied widely. Many variables contribute to the average number of days it takes to fill positions. One primary reason is that hiring needs and priorities vary by department month-to-month, and can greatly influence the time it takes to fill a vacancy. The average time it took to fill positions in FY15 was 101 days. Excluding the month of July 2014, the average days to fill for the remaining 11 months was 87 days.

9. In FY15, the Recruitment and Selection Services Unit assisted departments in filling 260 positions. Of these, 176 positions were filled by external candidates and 84 positions were filled by internal candidates.

10.

## Top of Grade

| FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 311 | 286 | 248 | 226 | 296 | 411 |
| $14.8 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |

In the fiscal years leading up to FY15, as indicated above, the number of employees at top of grade declined, and after FY13, increased. Between FY11 and FY13, merit increases were suspended; and accordingly, due to turnover, the number at top of grade declined. In FY15, the number of career employees at top of grade increased by 115 employees from FY14. This increase in the number of employees at top of grade was influenced by low turnover and the re-establishment of merit increases.
11. Thirty-eight percent (38.2\%) of the Commission's workforce were union members. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), union membership for the public sector workforce in the Baltimore-Washington Region was $35 \%$. According to BLS, $41 \%$ of local government workers are unionized. The higher numbers for union membership attributed to local government workers is the result of heavily unionized occupations such as teachers, police officers and firefighters.

Source: U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics, Economic News Release, Union Members, 2014.
12. In FY15, General Service employees received a $2.0 \%$ cost of living adjustment. The following chart represents the cumulative growth of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) in comparison to cost of living adjustments received by Commission employees from FY05 - FY15.

Consumer Price Index (CPI) vs. Cost of Living Adjustment
(COLA)


Source: U.S. Department of Labor Consumer Price Index, Urban Consumer Series, BaltimoreWashington Metropolitan Area.

## 13. Workers' Compensation Cost Per \$100 of Payroll

Used as a measurement of how workers' compensation costs compare with other agencies, the Cost Per $\$ 100$ of Payroll is used by government organizations that participate in the Montgomery County self-insurance fund.

In FY15, for every $\$ 100$ of payroll, the M-NCPPC workers' compensation costs are estimated to be $\$ 1.93$. Workers' compensation costs are based on actuarial projections.

| Agency/Municipality | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montgomery <br> County | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| MC Public <br> Schools | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| M-NCPPC | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| City of <br> Gaithersburg | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 0.9 |
| Revenue <br> Authority | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 1.2 |

## THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

# PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT REVIEW SELECTED HIGHLIGHTS: Workforce Profile 

July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015
(Fiscal Year 2015)

## Composition - Career

- The total career workforce at June 30, 2015 was 2,049. This includes 2,005 full-time career and 44 part-time career employees.
- Between FY14 and FY15, the Commission's career workforce increased by $2.3 \%$ or 47 employees.
- The career employee population by gender was 1,272 or $62.1 \%$ male and 777 or $37.9 \%$ female.
- The average age of the Commission's career workforce was 48 years of age.
- The racial/ethnic composition of the career workforce was 1,073 or $52.4 \%$ white and 976 or $47.6 \%$ minority. The minority workforce as a percentage of the total workforce was 36.9\% Black, 4.0\% Asian, 6.1\% Hispanic, and less than 1\% (i.e., $0.6 \%$ ) American Indian.
- The racial/ethnic demographics of the Commission's workforce based upon where they work as compared to the populations of the respective Counties are reflected in the charts that follow:





Source for County Demographics: U.S. Census 2014 American Community Survey 1 year data series; Research and Technology Center, Montgomery County Planning Department, March 2014. M-NCPPC workforce includes Central Administrative Services located in Prince George's County. These charts are not meant to be a one-for-one comparison since the county statistics include all ages and persons otherwise not in the labor market such as retirees and students.

- In FY15, the largest number and percent of employees at the Commission fell into the Professional category with 883 employees or $43.1 \%$ of the workforce, followed by the Service Maintenance category with 396 employees or $19.3 \%$ of the workforce. The greatest number of minority employees were in the Professional employment category. Of the 976 minority employees, $42.0 \%$ or 410 were in the Professional category and $24.3 \%$ or 237 were in the Service/Maintenance category.
- The number of employees by job category were as follows:

| Job Category | FY14 | FY15 | Change <br> from |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Officials/Administrators | 70 | 71 | FY14 |
| Professionals | 894 | 883 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| Technicians | 108 | 139 | $28.7 \%$ |
| Protective Service | 148 | 145 | $-2.0 \%$ |
| *Para-Professional | 79 | 39 | $-50.6 \%$ |
| *Office/Clerical | 120 | 204 | $70.0 \%$ |
| Skilled Craft | 174 | 172 | $-1.1 \%$ |
| Service/Maintenance | 409 | 396 | $-3.1 \%$ |
| Total Employees | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 9}$ |  |

[^0]- The majority of female employees were in the Professional and Office/ Clerical employment categories. Of the 777 female employees, 440 or $56.6 \%$ were in the Professional category and 173 or $22.3 \%$ were in the Office/Clerical category.
- The majority of male employees were in the Professional and Service/Maintenance employment categories. Of the 1,272 male employees, 443 or $34.8 \%$ were in the Professional category and 356 or 27.9\% were in the Service/Maintenance category.
- By job category, the number and percentage of positions held by whites compared to minorities is as follows:

|  | Total <br> Job Category <br> Commission <br> Workforce by Job <br> Category | Total <br> Whites | $\%$ | Total <br> Minorities | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Officials <br> Administrators | 71 | 44 | $4.1 \%$ | 27 | $2.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professionals | 883 | 473 | $44.1 \%$ | 410 | $42.0 \%$ |
| Technicians | 139 | 85 | $7.9 \%$ | 54 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Protective Service | 145 | 79 | $7.4 \%$ | 66 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Para-Professionals | 39 | 23 | $2.1 \%$ | 16 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Office/Clerical | 204 | 84 | $7.8 \%$ | 120 | $12.3 \%$ |
| Skilled Craft | 172 | 126 | $11.7 \%$ | 46 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Service Maintenance | $\frac{396}{2049}$ | $\frac{159}{1073}$ | $\frac{14.8 \%}{100.0 \%}$ | $\frac{237}{976}$ | $\frac{24.3 \%}{100.0 \%}$ |

The definition of the Protective Services is found in the guidelines from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). Under these guidelines, Officers in the ranks of Park Police Officer Candidate, Park Police Officer II through Park Police Officer IV are defined as Protective Services. EEOC defines the rank of Sergeant as Technician, Lieutenant and Captain are defined as Professional and the Commander is an Official/Administrator.

## Tenure

- Commission-wide, the average length of service was 12.8 years; for females, the average was 12.9 years; and for males, the average was 12.7 years.
- $\quad 48.7 \%$ of the workforce have been employed with the Commission less
than 9 years, $16.8 \%$ between 10 and 14 years, 10.6\% between 15 and 19 years, $8.6 \%$ between 20 and 24 years, $9.7 \%$ between 25 and 29 years, and $5.7 \%$ have been with the Commission for 30 or more years.
- The average length of service was 13.5 years for Whites, 11.6 years for Asian, 12.2 years for Blacks, 13.5 years for American Indians, and 10.5 years for Hispanic employees.


## Collective Bargaining

- In FY15, 38.2\% of the Commission workforce belonged to a union. Of the $38.2 \%, 30.1 \%$ were members of The United Food \& Commercial Workers, Local 1994/ Municipal and County Government Employees Organization (MCGEO) and 8.1\% were members of the Fraternal Order of Police, Lodge \#30 (FOP).
- MCGEO is the exclusive representative for the Service/Labor, Trades and Office/Clerical bargaining units. Composition of the units are as follows:

The Service/Labor Unit was composed of 348 employees or 17.0\% of the career workforce.

The Trades Unit was composed of 135 employees or $6.6 \%$ of the career workforce.

The Office/Clerical Unit was composed of 133 employees or 6.5\% of the career workforce.

## Compensation

- The Commission compensates and rewards its employees utilizing either a pay range or step system.
o There are six separate pay range schedules for career employees, 3 for non-represented employees (General, IT, Command Staff) and 3 for represented employees (Service/Labor, Office/Clerical, Trades). Each range consists of a minimum, midpoint and maximum salary with represented employees also having a longevity increment.
o The step system is utilized by employees in the FOP Bargaining Unit with two additional increments for longevity.
- The average salary for all career employees (full-time and part-time) was $\$ 68,452$. The actual distribution of full-time employees by pay range indicates that approximately $41.1 \%$ earned between $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 59,000$; and $58.9 \%$ earned $\$ 60,000$ or more.
- Average salary for female employees was $\$ 72,676$. The average salary for male employees was $\$ 66,246$. The average salary for white employees was $\$ 71,078$ and the average salary for minority employees was $\$ 65,951$.
- Average salaries for represented employees were as follows:

| FOP: | $\$ 73,244$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trades: | $\$ 56,381$ |
| Office/Clerical: | $\$ 51,232$ |
| Service/Labor: | $\$ 43,412$ |

## Budget Allocations

- Group insurance represented $7.1 \%$ of the General Fund.
- Employee salaries and benefits represented 72\% of the General Fund.


## Promotions and Cost of Living Adjustments

- In FY15, 143 career employees received promotions. Of the 143 promotions, 105 competed for promotions via the recruitment and selection process; and 38 received promotions through the reclassification process.
- Of the 143 promotions, 52 or $36.4 \%$ were females; and 91 or $63.6 \%$ were males. 88 or $61.5 \%$ of white employees received promotions and 55 or $38.5 \%$ of minority employees received promotions.
- In FY15, non-represented and MCGEO represented career employees received a $2.0 \%$ COLA. Employees eligible to receive a merit increase (or longevity increase for union employees) received a one-time lump sum payment of $0.5 \%$ of base pay. Employees not eligible to receive a merit increase (or longevity increase for union employees) because they were at top of grade and received a satisfactory performance rating received a lump sum of one percent (1.0\%) of base pay. FOP, Park Police Command Staff and Candidates received a 1.75\% COLA.
- From FY05 through FY16, M-NCPPC non-represented employees received a cumulative COLA of $21.8 \%$ as compared to the cumulative COLA of $25.8 \%$ for non-represented employees of Montgomery County Government, and 16.5\% for non-represented employees of Prince George's County Government.
- From FY05 through FY16, M-NCPPC Park Police Officers have received a cumulative COLA of 28\%. During the same period, police in Montgomery County received a cumulative COLA of 24.5\%, and police in Prince George's County received a cumulative COLA of 13.5\%.
- From FY05 through FY16, M-NCPPC MCGEO employees received a cumulative COLA of $21.3 \%$, Montgomery County MCGEO employees received a cumulative COLA of $23.8 \%$, and Prince George's County AFSCME employees received a cumulative COLA of $21.5 \%$


## Health Benefits - Career and Term Contract

- Career Employees selected from three medical plans for health insurance coverage. These include a Point of Service Plan (POS), UnitedHealth care Choice Plus POS, and two Exclusive Provider Organizations (EPO), UnitedHealthcare Select EPO and CIGNA OAPIN (Open Access Plus In Network) EPO. Term contract employees could enroll in the EPO's, but were not eligible to enroll in the POS plan.
- The Commission also offered career employees vision, prescription, and dental plans; life, accidental death and dismemberment (AD\&D), long term care, a sick leave bank, and long term disability insurance (LTD); employee assistance program (EAP), deferred compensation, access to legal services, and flexible spending accounts. Term contract employees were only eligible for long term care, deferred compensation, and flexible spending accounts. MCGEO members had a choice of Sick Leave Bank or a Sick Leave Donor Program.
- Distribution by medical plan participation from FY14 to FY15 was as follows:

| Medical Plan | FY14 | FY15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UHC - POS | $45.7 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ |
| UHC - EPO | $30.5 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ |
| CIGNA | $11.7 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| Waived | $13.1 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |

## Retirement Benefits - Career

- The Commission offers a retirement system which has been mandatory since 1979 and is composed of five defined benefit plans:

1. Plan $A$ is non-integrated with Social Security and has been closed to membership since December 31, 1978; less than $1 \%$ (.4\%) or 8 full and part-time career employees are in Plan A.
2. Plan B is integrated with Social Security; $75.2 \%$ or 1,592 full and part-time employees are in Plan B.
3. Plans C and D are the retirement plans for the Park Police and account for $9.7 \%$ of the retirement plan membership. Plan C has $9.2 \%$ or 195 members and Plan D has less than $1 \%$ (.5\%) or 10 members.
4. Plan E is mandatory for all full-time and part-time career Merit System employees (except Park Police), for individuals employed by Employees' Retirement System, Appointed Officials, and employees exempted from the Merit System who are employed or appointed on or after January 1, 2013. Plan E has 14.8\% or 313 members.
5. Normal retirement for employees in both Plan A and Plan B is age 60 with at least five years of credited service or 30 years of credited service regardless of age. Plans $A$ and $B$ are closed to new membership.
6. Normal retirement for employees in Plan C is 25 years of credited service or age 55 with at least five years of service.
7. Normal retirement for employees in Plan D is 22 years of credited service or age 55 with at least five years of service; Plan D was closed to new membership in 1993.
8. Normal retirement for employees in Plan E is age 62 with at least 10 years of credited service or 30 years of credited service regardless of age.
9. Employees are eligible to convert accumulated sick leave into credited service at the time of retirement. Employees may use a maximum of 14 months to qualify for early or normal retirement.

## General Benefits

- In FY15, 64 employees utilized the Tuition Assistance Program, a 23\% (12 employees) increase over FY14 when 52 employees utilized the program.
- On December 31, 2015, the total number of hours in the Sick Leave Bank was 15,004 . Employee deductions for contributions were waived.
- Eligible employees used 1,744 hours of the sick leave bank during the 2015 calendar year, in comparison to 2014 calendar year where 2,885 hours of sick leave was used.


## Turnover - Career

- Turnover rate in FY15 was $5.5 \%$ or 112 employees. The average turnover rate for the past five fiscal years was $6.5 \%$.
- In FY15, 58\% of employees who separated employment were male and $42 \%$ were female, in comparison to FY14 where $57 \%$ male and $43 \%$ female.
- In FY15, the composition of exiting employees was $47.3 \%$ white and $51.0 \%$ minority and $1.8 \%$ did not identify their race.
- Of the 112 separated employees, the highest turnover occurred in the Professional category at $44.6 \%$, followed by Service/Maintenance at $13.4 \%$ and Administrative Support at $13.4 \%$.
- In FY15, the three primary reasons for leaving were normal retirement, a new job, and personal reasons.


## Composition - Non-Career (Seasonal/Intermittent, Term and Temporary)

- In FY15, the non-career workforce numbered 5,118, in comparison to 5,004 in FY14. Of this number, $99 \%$ were seasonal/intermittent.
- Of the non-career employees, $91 \%$ worked in Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation and 8\% worked in Montgomery County Department of Parks. In FY14, 91\% worked in Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation and $7 \%$ worked in Montgomery County Department of Parks.
- The gender composition of non-career employees remained unchanged between FY15 and FY14 with females representing 54\% and males representing $46 \%$.
- In FY15, the racial/ethnic composition of non-career employees was $82 \%$ minority and $18 \%$ white, in comparison to FY14 when $79 \%$ were minority and $20 \%$ white.
- $55 \%$ of non-career employees were 29 years of age and younger.

Race/Ethnicity
Career Employees



Distribution by Employment Category and Gender


Total Employees $=2,049$

Distribution by Employment Category and Race/Ethnicity


Total Employees $=2,049$

Race/Ethnicity, Gender and Employment Category

| White Male | Official/Administrator | 26 | 1.3\% | Hispanic Female | Official/Administrator | 1 | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Professional | 239 | 11.7\% |  | Professional | 14 | 0.7\% |
|  | Technician | 50 | 2.4\% |  | Technician | 2 | 0.1\% |
|  | Protective Service | 64 | 3.1\% |  | Protective Service | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | Paraprofessional | 17 | 0.8\% |  | Paraprofessional | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Office Clerical | 17 | 0.8\% |  | Office Clerical | 6 | 0.3\% |
|  | Skilled Craft | 126 | 6.1\% |  | Skilled Craft | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Service/Maintenance | 144 | 7.0\% |  | Service/Maintenance | $\underline{6}$ | 0.3\% |
|  |  | 683 | 33.3\% |  |  | 30 | 1.5\% |
| White Female | Official/Administrator | 18 | 0.9\% | Asian Male | Official/Administrator | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | Professional | 234 | 11.4\% |  | Professional | 21 | 1.0\% |
|  | Technician | 35 | 1.7\% |  | Technician | 5 | 0.2\% |
|  | Protective Service | 15 | 0.7\% |  | Protective Service | 9 | 0.4\% |
|  | Paraprofessional | 6 | 0.3\% |  | Paraprofessional | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Office Clerical | 67 | 3.3\% |  | Office Clerical | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | Skilled Craft | 0 | 0.0\% |  | Skilled Craft | 2 | 0.1\% |
|  | Service/Maintenance | $\underline{15}$ | 0.7\% |  | Service/Maintenance | 11 | 0.5\% |
|  |  | 390 | 19.0\% |  |  | 50 | 2.4\% |
| Black Male | Official/Administrator | 13 | 0.6\% | Asian Female | Official/Administrator | 2 | 0.1\% |
|  | Professional | 159 | 7.8\% |  | Professional | 24 | 1.2\% |
|  | Technician | 24 | 1.2\% |  | Technician | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | Protective Service | 35 | 1.7\% |  | Protective Service | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Paraprofessional | 6 | 0.3\% |  | Paraprofessional | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Office Clerical | 10 | 0.5\% |  | Office Clerical | 4 | 0.2\% |
|  | Skilled Craft | 38 | 1.9\% |  | Skilled Craft | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Service/Maintenance | 150 | 7.3\% |  | Service/Maintenance | $\underline{0}$ | 0.0\% |
|  |  | 435 | 21.2\% |  |  | 31 | 1.5\% |
| Black Female | Official/Administrator | 8 | 0.4\% | American Indian Male | Official/Administrator | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Professional | 164 | 8.0\% |  | Professional | 3 | 0.1\% |
|  | Technician | 14 | 0.7\% |  | Technician | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | Protective Service | 12 | 0.6\% |  | Protective Service | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | Paraprofessional | 8 | 0.4\% |  | Paraprofessional | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Office Clerical | 96 | 4.7\% |  | Office Clerical | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Skilled Craft | 0 | 0.0\% |  | Skilled Craft | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | Service/Maintenance | $\underline{19}$ | 0.9\% |  | Service/Maintenance | $\underline{2}$ | 0.1\% |
|  |  | 321 | 15.7\% |  |  | 8 | 0.4\% |
| Hispanic Male | Official/Administrator | 1 | 0.0\% | American Indian Female | Official/Administrator | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | Professional | 21 | 1.0\% |  | Professional | 4 | 0.2\% |
|  | Technician | 7 | 0.3\% |  | Technician | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Protective Service | 8 | 0.4\% |  | Protective Service | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Paraprofessional | 2 | 0.1\% |  | Paraprofessional | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Office Clerical | 3 | 0.1\% |  | Office Clerical | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Skilled Craft | 5 | 0.2\% |  | Skilled Craft | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Service/Maintenance | 49 | 2.4\% |  | Service/Maintenance | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  |  | 96 | 4.7\% |  |  | 5 | 0.2\% |

Percentages are based on total number of employees which is 2,049.

## Employees by Race/Ethnicity and Employment Category






Total Career Employees $=2,049$
Percentages listed are the total for that job category, within that racial/ethnic category.
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## Employees Eligible for Normal Retirement Between FY16 and FY20

|  | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pr. Geo Comm | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Pr. Geo Pks \& Rec | 161 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 27 | 291 |
| Pr. Geo Planning | 34 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 67 |
| Sub Total | 199 | 45 | 41 | 44 | 36 | 365 |
| CAS |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |
|  | 23 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 42 |
| Mont. Comm | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mont. Parks | 129 | 27 | 30 | 24 | 24 | 234 |
| Mont. Planning | 25 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 44 |
| Sub Total | 158 | 36 | 34 | 29 | 25 | 282 |
|  |  |  |  | 82 | 76 | 65 |



Between FY16 and FY20, 689 or $33.6 \%$ of current employees will reach normal retirement eligibility. This is an average of 138 employees for each of those years. Based on the current number of employees, by EEO category, this represents:
$74.7 \%$ of the Official/Administrator category
33.9\% of the Professional category
35.3\% of the Technician category
15.2\% of the Protective Service category
18.0\% of the Para-Professional category
34.3\% of the Office Clerical category
41.3\% of the Skilled Craft (Trades) category
29.8\% of the Service/Maintenance category

Excludes appointed officials and employees in Long Term Disability status.



## Employee Distribution by Department - FY 2015

| Central Administrative Services | 116 | $5.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Montgomery County Commissioners' Office | 5 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Montgomery County Planning Department | 128 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Montgomery County Department of Parks | 662 | $32.3 \%$ |
| Prince George's County Commissioners' Office | 9 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Prince George's County Planning Department | 163 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Prince George's County Dept. of Parks and Recreation | 965 | $47.1 \%$ |
| Merit Board System | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| M-NCPPC Career Employees Total | 2049 | $100 \%$ |

Note: Commission-wide totals exclude 10 Commissioners (5 in Montgomery County and 5 in Prince George's County), Appointed Officers, Appointed Department Directors, Deputy Directors and Merit System Board Members

Average Salaries by Employment Category, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

Average Salaries by Employment Category, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

Note: Average Commission Salary - $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)

## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade

| Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Park Police |  | General Service |  | Information Tech |  |
| PC-1 | \$48,338 | D | \$45,288 | GIT | \$68,583 |
| P02 | \$57,208 | E | \$49,053 | HIT | \$74,573 |
| P03 | \$62,270 | F | \$56,569 | IIT | \$93,067 |
| P04 | \$76,032 | G | \$61,273 | JIT | \$110,915 |
| P05 | \$91,080 | H | \$71,789 | Avg. Salary | \$91,828 |
| P06 | \$109,429 | 1 | \$87,169 |  |  |
| P07 | \$128,985 | J | \$104,280 |  |  |
| P09 | \$152,161 | K | \$122,850 |  |  |
|  |  | L | \$145,951 |  |  |
| Avg. Sal. FOP | \$73,244 | Avg. Salary | \$76,081 |  |  |
| Avg. Sal. PP Command | \$118,694 |  |  |  |  |

Average Salary All Career Employees: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)



Average Salary by Grade
Information Technology Employees


## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade

| Grade |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Office/Clerical |  |
| C02 | $\$ 37,111$ |
| C03 | $\$ 49,992$ |
| C04 | $\$ 43,895$ |
| C05 | $\$ 49,836$ |
| C06 | $\$ 54,817$ |
| Avg. Salary | $\$ 51,232$ |


| Grade |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Service/Labor |  |
| L02 | $\$ 40,876$ |
| L03 | $\$ 39,936$ |
| L05 | $\$ 47,547$ |
| L06 | $\$ 44,967$ |
| L07 | $\$ 57,128$ |
| Avg. Salary | $\$ 43,412$ |


| Grade |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trades | Salary |
| T02 | $\$ 53,525$ |
| T03 | $\$ 48,891$ |
| T04 | $\$ 58,618$ |
| Avg. Salary | $\$ 56,381$ |

Average Salary All Career Employees: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)




Employee Distribution by Grade Within Pay Schedule












 (zz4) Police Officers will receive FY16 increases in FY17



These graphs do not include participation in prescription drug, dental or vision coverage.
Participation is based on calendar year. Distribution totals and percentages change each year at Open Enrollment.

## IPA Models

## UHC EPO

Family 270
13.2\%

UHC EPO
Single
236
11.5\%

UHC EPO
Two Member 7.9\%
32.6\%
CIGNA EPO
CIGNA EPO
CIGNA EPO

Family 77
3.8\%

Single 109
5.3\%
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { Two Member } & 58 \\ & 244 & 11.9 \%\end{array}$

UHC POS
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336
16.4\%

UHC POS
UHC POS
Single
346
Two Member
16.9\%
$\square \quad \begin{array}{r}379 \\ \hline \quad 879\end{array}$
9.6\%
42.9\%

Waived Coverage
258
12.6\%

Total $\quad \underline{\underline{2049}}$

Note: Does not include participation in prescription drug, supplemental dental, or vision coverage.
Participation is based on calendar year. Distribution totals and percentages change each calendar at Open Enrollment.





## Number of Separations by Reason and Fiscal Year

|  |  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Voluntary | New Job | 24 | 21 | 27 | 31 | 21 |
|  | Relocation | 1 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
|  | Personal Reasons | 26 | 24 | 22 | 32 | 21 |
|  | Return to School | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Early Retirement | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Normal Retirement | 75 | 38 | 58 | 45 | *48 |
|  | Quit Without Notice | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Other | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Management/Fiscal | Violation of Rules | 6 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
|  | Unsatisfactory Performance | 6 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
|  | Reduction in Force (RIF) | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Dismissal/Job Abondonment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Other | Death | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Not Specified | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | DisabilityMedical | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Resign Medical | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total Separations | 161 | 109 | 135 | 131 | 112 |
|  | Turnover Rate | 7.50\% | 6.00\% | 6.80\% | 6.50\% | 5.51\% |

*This count is based on the number of employees who completed an exit interview. According to the ERS, 59 employees retired during FY15.





Total Montgomery County Commissioners' Office Employees =5



## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade Montgomery County Commissioner's Office

Grade

| G | $\$$ | 65,542 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| H | $\$$ | 81,382 |
| J | $\$$ | 109,565 |

Average Departmental Salary: \$80,683
Average Commission Salary: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)








## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade Montgomery County Planning Department

| Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| C05 | $\$ 53,206$ | D | $\$ 45,288$ | GIT | $\$ 72,875$ |
| C06 | $\$ 52,813$ | F | $\$ 62,628$ | HIT | $\$ 82,182$ |
|  |  | G | $\$ 64,022$ | IIT | $\$ 100,885$ |
|  |  | H | $\$ 76,188$ | JIT | $\$ 109,236$ |
|  | I | $\$ 91,345$ |  |  |  |
|  | J | $\$ 107,380$ |  |  |  |
|  | K | $\$ 126,416$ |  |  |  |
|  | L | $\$ 163,624$ |  |  |  |

Average Departmental Salary: \$86,475
Average Commission Salary: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)







Distribution by Employment Category and Race Ethnicity Montgomery County Department of Parks


Total Montgomery County Department of Parks Employees: 662


## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade Montgomery County Department of Parks

| Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary |  | Grade | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | $\$$ | 57,498 | PC-1 | $\$$ | 48,338 | HIT |
| G | $\$$ | 63,620 | PO2 | $\$$ | 56,796 | \$ |

Average Department Salary: \$64,960
Average Commission Salary: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)




## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade Montgomery County Department of Parks

| Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L02 | $\$ 43,199$ | C04 | $\$ 39,791$ | T02 | $\$ 53,410$ |
| L03 | $\$ 40,976$ | C05 | $\$ 49,278$ | T03 | $\$ 50,154$ |
| L05 | $\$ 48,670$ | C06 | $\$ 50,694$ | T04 | $\$ 59,547$ |
| L06 | $\$ 43,910$ |  |  |  |  |
| L07 | $\$ 58,843$ |  |  |  |  |

Average Departmental Salary: \$64,960
Average Commission Salary: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)





Distribution by Employment Category and Gender Prince George's County Commissioners' Office


Total Prince George's County Commissioners' Office Employees: 9




## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade Prince George's County Commissioners' Office

| Grade | Salary |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| F | $\$$ | 66,562 |
| G | $\$$ | 65,296 |
| H | $\$$ | 83,657 |
| I | $\$$ | 86,167 |
| K | $\$$ | 115,054 |

Average Departmental Salary: \$85,212
Average Commission Salary: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)



, 163



# Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade Prince George's County Planning Department 

| Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| E | $\$ 46,485$ | CO3 | $\$ 51,662$ | GIT | $\$ 57,972$ |
| F | $\$ 54,986$ | CO5 | $\$ 54,171$ | HIT | $\$ 76,771$ |
| G | $\$ 60,854$ | CO6 | $\$ 62,591$ | IIT | $\$ 99,329$ |
| H | $\$ 76,310$ |  |  |  |  |
| I | $\$ 88,935$ |  |  |  |  |
| J | $\$ 107,530$ |  |  |  |  |
| K | $\$ 129,386$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average Departmental Salary: $\$ 80,180$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average Commission Salary: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees) |  |  |  |





Employees by Employment Category
Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation Para-Professionals
22
$2.8 \%$
$2.3 \%$


## Distribution by Employment Category and Gender Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation



Total Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation Employees: 965

> Distribution by Employment Category and Race/Ethnicity Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation


[^1]


## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation

| Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| E | $\$ 49,508$ | PC - | $\$ 48,338$ | GIT | $\$ 70,610$ |
| F | $\$ 55,235$ | P02 | $\$ 57,599$ | HIT | $\$ 64,699$ |
| G | $\$ 59,397$ | P03 | $\$ 61,972$ | IIT | $\$ 86,583$ |
| H | $\$ 69,436$ | P04 | $\$ 75,209$ | JIT | $\$ 100,429$ |
| I | $\$ 83,589$ | P05 | $\$ 88,026$ |  |  |
| J | $\$ 102,281$ | P06 | $\$ 110,772$ |  |  |
| K | $\$ 119,856$ | P07 | $\$ 129,295$ |  |  |
|  |  | P09 | $\$ 152,161$ |  |  |

Average Departmental Salary: \$64,007
Average Commission Salary: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)




## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation

| Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary | Grade | Salary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CO2 | $\$ 37,111$ | LO2 | $\$ 38,553$ | T02 | $\$$ | 53,639 |
| CO3 | $\$ 51,662$ | L03 | $\$ 38,738$ | T03 | $\$$ | 48,160 |
| CO4 | $\$ 45,234$ | L05 | $\$ 46,330$ | T04 | $\$$ | 57,595 |
| CO5 | $\$ 49,464$ | L06 | $\$ 45,099$ |  |  |  |
| CO6 | $\$ 54,025$ | LO7 | $\$ 53,697$ |  |  |  |

Average Departmental Salary: \$64,007
Average Commission Salary: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)







## Average Annual Salary for Career Employees by Grade Central Administrative Services (CAS)

| Grade | Salary |  | Grade | Salary |  | Grade | Salary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | \$ | 54,182 | C05 | \$ | 49,394 | HIT | \$ | 85,095 |
| F | \$ | 64,452 | C06 | \$ | 63,182 | IIT | \$ | 88,946 |
| G | \$ | 66,816 |  |  |  | JIT | \$ | 116,360 |
| H | \$ | 76,165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | \$ | 89,599 | L03 | \$ | 47,046 |  |  |  |
| J | \$ | 106,932 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | \$ | 123,028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | \$ | 141,303 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Average CAS Salary: $\$ 89,742$
Average Commission Salary: $\$ 68,452$ (includes full-time and part-time employees)



## Non Career Employees Distribution by Grade by Pay Schedule Assignment




## Non Career Employees Distribution by Grade by Pay Schedule Assignment

> Distribution by Grade
> Special Salary Range Pay Schedule for Select Career IT Positions




## Non-Career Employees by Age



Total Non-Career Employees: 5,118

## Non-Career Employees Distribution by Department

| Central Administrative Services | 11 | $0.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| MC Commissioner | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| MC Parks | 393 | $8.1 \%$ |
| MC Planning | 6 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Office of CIO | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| PGC Commissioner | 3 | $0.1 \%$ |
| PGC Parks and Recreation | 4,696 | $91.2 \%$ |
| PGC Planning | 7 | $0.2 \%$ |
| M-NCPPC Non-Career Employees - Total | 5,118 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Excerpt from U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's EEOC Form 164, State and Local Government Information (EEO-4), Instruction Booklet.

## RACE/ETHNIC IDENTIFICATION

An employer may acquire the race/ethnic information necessary for this section either by visual surveys of the work force, or from post-employment records as to the identity of employees. Since visual surveys are permitted, and the fact that race/ethnic identifications are not present on agency records is not an excuse for failure to provide the data called for. However, although the Commission does not encourage direct inquiry as a method of determining racial or ethnic identity, this method is not prohibited in cases where it has been used in the past, or where other methods are not practical, provided it is not used for purposes of discrimination.

Moreover, the fact that employees may be located at different addresses does not provide an acceptable reason for failure to comply with the reporting requirements. In such cases, it is recommended that visual surveys be conducted for the employer by persons such as supervisors who are responsible for the work of the employees or to whom the employees report for instruction or otherwise.

Please note that the General Counsel of the Commission has ruled, on the basis of court decisions, that the Commission has the authority to require the racial and ethnic identification of employees, regardless of any possible conflicting state or local laws.

The concept of race as used by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission does not denote clearcut scientific definitions of anthropological origins. For the purposes of this report, an employee may be included in the group to which he or she appears to belong, identifies with, or is regarded in the community as belonging. However, no person may be counted in more than one race/ethnic category.

NOTE: The category "HISPANIC", while not a race identification, is included as a separate race/ethnic category because of the employment discrimination often encountered by this group; for this reason do not include HISPANIC under either "white" or "black".

For the purposes of the report, the following race/ethnic categories will be used:
a. White ( not of Hispanic origin): All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa, or the Middle East.
b. Black (not or Hispanic origin): All persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa.
c. Hispanic: All persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.
d. Asian or Pacific Islander: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian Subcontinent, or the Pacific Islands. This area includes, for example, China, India, Japan, Korea, the Philippine Islands, and Samoa.
e. American Indian or Alaskan Native: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of North America, and who maintain cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.

## DESCRIPTION OF JOB CATEGORIES

a. Officials and Administrators: Occupations in which employees set broad policies, exercise overall responsibility for execution of these policies, or direct individual departments or special phases of the agency's operations, or provide specialized consultation on a regional, district or area basis. Includes: department heads, bureau chiefs, division chiefs, directors, deputy directors, controllers, wardens, superintendents, sheriffs, police and fire chiefs and inspectors, examiners (bank, hearing, motor vehicle, warehouse), inspectors (construction, building, safety, rent-and- housing, fire, A.B.C. Board, license, dairy, livestock, transportation), assessors, tax appraisers and investigators, coroners, farm managers, and kindred workers.
b. Professionals: Occupations which require specialized and theoretical knowledge which is usually acquired through college training or through work experience and other training which provides comparable knowledge. Includes: personnel and labor relations workers, social workers, doctors, psychologists, registered nurses, economists, dietitians, lawyers, systems analysts, accountants, engineers, employment and vocational rehabilitation counselors, teachers or instructors, police and fire captains and lieutenants, librarians, management analysts, airplane pilots and navigators, surveyors and mapping scientists, and kindred workers.
c. Technicians: Occupations which require a combination of basic scientific or technical knowledge and manual skill which can be obtained through specialized post-secondary school education or through equivalent on-the-job training. Includes: computer programmers, drafters, survey and mapping technicians, licensed practical nurses, photographers, radio operators, technical illustrators, highway technicians, technicians (medical, dental, electronic, physical sciences), police and fire sergeants, inspectors (production or processing inspectors, testers and weighers), and kindred workers.
d. Protective Service Workers: Occupations in which workers are entrusted with public safety, security and protection from destructive forces. Includes: police patrol officers firefighters, guards, deputy sheriffs, bailiffs, correctional officers, detectives, marshals, harbor patrol officers, game and fish wardens, park rangers (except maintenance), and kindred workers.
e. Paraprofessionals: Occupations in which workers perform some of the duties of a professional or technician in a supportive role, which usually require less formal training and/or experience normally required for professional or technical status. Such positions may fall within an identified pattern of staff development and promotion under a "New Careers" concept. Included: research assistants, medical aides, child support workers, policy auxiliary welfare service aides, recreation assistants, homemakers aides, home health aides, library assistants and clerks, ambulance drivers and attendants, and kindred workers.
f. Administrative Support(I ncluding Clerical and Sales): Occupations in which workers are responsible for internal and external communication, recording and retrieval of data and/or information and other paperwork required in an office. Includes: bookkeepers, messengers, clerk-typist, stenographers, court transcribers, hearing reporters, statistical clerks, dispatchers, license distributors, payroll clerks, office machine and computer operators, telephone operators, legal assistants, sales workers, cashiers, toll collectors, and kindred workers.
g. Skilled Craft Workers: Occupations in which workers perform jobs which require special manual skill and a thorough and comprehensive knowledge of the process involved in the work which is acquired through on-the-job training and experience
or through apprenticeship or other formal training programs. Includes: mechanics and repairers electricians, heavy equipment operators, stationary engineers, skilled machining occupations, carpenters, compositors and typesetters, power plant operators, water and sewage treatment plant operators, and kindred workers.
h. Service-Maintenance: Occupations in which workers perform duties which result in or contribute to the comfort, convenience, hygiene or safety of the general public or which contribute to the upkeep and care of buildings, facilities or grounds of public property. Workers in this group may operate machinery. Includes: chauffeurs, laundry and dry cleaning operatives, truck drivers, bus drivers, garage laborers, custodial employees, gardeners and groundkeepers, refuse collectors, construction laborers, park rangers (maintenance), farm workers (except managers), craft apprentices/trainees/helpers, and kindred workers.

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission General Service Pay Schedule Effective September 13, 2015
1.75\% COLA

| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | \$25,408 | \$34,443 | \$43,479 |
|  | \$12.2154 | \$16.5591 | \$20.9034 |
| B | \$27,142 | \$36,792 | \$46,440 |
|  | \$13.0490 | \$17.6885 | \$22.3269 |
| C | \$28,376 | \$39,693 | \$51,008 |
|  | \$13.6423 | \$19.0832 | \$24.5231 |
| D | \$31,880 | \$43,216 | \$54,551 |
|  | \$15.3269 | \$20.7769 | \$26.2264 |
| E | \$35,273 | \$47,814 | \$60,357 |
|  | \$16.9582 | \$22.9875 | \$29.0178 |
| F | \$39,580 | \$53,654 | \$67,727 |
|  | \$19.0288 | \$25.7952 | \$32.5611 |
| G | \$44,825 | \$60,763 | \$76,700 |
|  | \$21.5505 | \$29.2130 | \$36.8750 |
| H | \$50,815 | \$68,883 | \$86,954 |
|  | \$24.4303 | \$33.1168 | \$41.8048 |
| I | \$57,549 | \$78,108 | \$98,667 |
|  | \$27.6678 | \$37.5519 | \$47.4361 |
| J | \$66,981 | \$91,858 | \$116,734 |
|  | \$32.2024 | \$44.1625 | \$56.1221 |
| K | \$80,213 | \$108,734 | \$137,257 |
|  | \$38.5639 | \$52.2760 | \$65.9889 |
| L | \$97,293 | \$131,890 | \$166,487 |
|  | \$46.7755 | \$63.4087 | \$80.0418 |

Approved by the Commission June 17, 2015

## THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMIISSION Special Salary Range Pay Schedule for Select Career IT Positions ONLY Effective September 13, 2015 <br> 1.75\% COLA

| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GIT | \$47,065 | \$62,440 | \$80,537 |
|  | \$22.6274 | \$30.0192 | \$38.7197 |
| HIT | \$53,355 | \$70,782 | \$91,297 |
|  | \$25.6514 | \$34.0298 | \$43.8928 |
| IIT | \$60,425 | \$80,261 | \$103,600 |
|  | \$29.0505 | \$38.5870 | \$49.8077 |
| JIT | \$73,010 | \$97,974 | \$127,239 |
|  | \$35.1010 | \$47.1029 | \$61.1726 |
| TITLE |  | GRADE |  |
| Programmer/Analyst I |  | GIT |  |
| GIS Specialist I |  | GIT |  |
| IT Telecommunications Spec I |  | GIT |  |
| Programmer/Analyst II |  | HIT |  |
| GIS Specialist II |  | HIT |  |
| Senior IT Support Specialist |  | IIT |  |
| Programmer/Analyst III |  | IIT |  |
| GIS Specialist III |  | IIT |  |
| IT Systems Manager/Leader |  | JIT |  |

Approved by the Commission
June 17, 2015

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
Office/Clerical Bargaining Unit Pay Schedule Effective September 13, 2015
1.75\% COLA

| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Longevity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C01 | \$25,421 | \$34,461 | \$43,501 | \$44,807 |
|  | \$12.2216 | \$16.5678 | \$20.9139 | \$21.5418 |
| C02 | \$27,155 | \$36,811 | \$46,466 | \$47,860 |
|  | \$13.0553 | \$17.6976 | \$22.3394 | \$23.0096 |
| C03 | \$28,390 | \$39,712 | \$51,034 | \$52,566 |
|  | \$13.6490 | \$19.0923 | \$24.5356 | \$25.2721 |
| CO 4 | \$31,896 | \$43,237 | \$54,578 | \$56,215 |
|  | \$15.3346 | \$20.7870 | \$26.2394 | \$27.0264 |
| $\mathrm{CO5}$ | \$35,290 | \$47,839 | \$60,388 | \$62,199 |
|  | \$16.9663 | \$22.9995 | \$29.0327 | \$29.9034 |
| C 06 | \$39,600 | \$53,681 | \$67,763 | \$69,795 |
|  | \$19.0385 | \$25.8082 | \$32.5784 | \$33.5553 |
|  | Approved by the Commission June 17, 2015 |  |  |  |

## THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION <br> Trades Bargaining Unit Pay Schedule Effective September 13, 2015 <br> 1.75\% COLA

| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Longevity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T01 | \$27,155 | \$36,811 | \$46,466 | \$47,860 |
|  | \$13.0553 | \$17.6976 | \$22.3394 | \$23.0096 |
| T02 | \$31,896 | \$43,237 | \$54,578 | \$56,215 |
|  | \$15.3346 | \$20.7870 | \$26.2394 | \$27.0264 |
| T03 | \$35,290 | \$47,839 | \$60,387 | \$62,199 |
|  | \$16.9663 | \$22.9995 | \$29.0322 | \$29.9034 |
| T04 | \$39,600 | \$53,681 | \$67,763 | \$69,796 |
|  | \$19.0385 | \$25.8082 | \$32.5784 | \$33.5558 |

Approved by the Commission June 17, 2015

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION Service/Labor Bargaining Unit Pay Schedule Effective September 13, 2015
1.75\% COLA

| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Longevity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L01 | \$25,345 | \$34,360 | \$43,374 | \$44,674 |
|  | \$12.1851 | \$16.5192 | \$20.8529 | \$21.4779 |
| L02 | \$28,307 | \$39,595 | \$50,884 | \$52,410 |
|  | \$13.6091 | \$19.0361 | \$24.4635 | \$25.1971 |
| L03,L04 | \$31,803 | \$43,112 | \$54,420 | \$56,053 |
|  | \$15.2899 | \$20.7269 | \$26.1635 | \$26.9486 |
| L05,L06 | \$35,187 | \$47,699 | \$60,211 | \$62,017 |
|  | \$16.9168 | \$22.9322 | \$28.9476 | \$29.8159 |
| L07 | \$39,483 | \$53,524 | \$67,564 | \$69,591 |
|  | \$18.9822 | \$25.7327 | \$32.4827 | \$33.4572 |

Approved by the Commission June 17, 2015
The Maryland-National Captial Park and Planning Commission
Effective July 5 , 2015 ( $1.75 \%$ (.75 ${ }^{\circ}$ )

K

P

| L | M | N | O |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 71,639$ | $\$ 74,141$ | $\$ 76,736$ | $\$ 79,424$ |
| $\$ 34.4418$ | $\$ 35.6447$ | $\$ 36.8923$ | $\$ 38.1846$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 75,222$ | $\$ 77,856$ | $\$ 80,574$ | $\$ 83,395$ |
| $\$ 36.1644$ | $\$ 37.4308$ | $\$ 38.7375$ | $\$ 40.0938$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 78,979$ | $\$ 81,741$ | $\$ 84,604$ | $\$ 87,567$ |
| $\$ 37.9707$ | $\$ 39.2986$ | $\$ 40.6750$ | $\$ 42.0995$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 87,080$ | $\$ 90,120$ | $\$ 93,276$ | $\$ 96,537$ |
| $\$ 41.8654$ | $\$ 43.3269$ | $\$ 44.8442$ | $\$ 46.4120$ |
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(hourly)

# Park Police Command Officers 

## Effective July 5, 2015 <br> 1.75\% COLA

| Title | Minimum |  | Midpoint |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | Maximum

Officer Candidate Pay Scale
Effective July 5, 2015
1.75\% COLA

| Position | Scale |
| :---: | :---: |
| Candidate (annual) | \$49,184 |
| [PC] (hourly) | \$ 23.6462 |

Approved by the Commission June 17, 2015

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission Aquatics Seasonal/Intermittent Pay Schedule Effective First Full Pay Period in October 2015 Minimum Wage and Schedule Adjustments

| Grade | First Year |  | Second Year |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Third Year |  |  |
| A02 | $\$ 9.55$ | $\$ 10.27$ | $\$ 10.98$ |  |
| A03 | $\$ 10.40$ | $\$ 11.26$ |  | $\$ 12.08$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| A04 | $\$ 11.45$ | $\$ 12.41$ | $\$ 13.40$ |  |
| A05 | $\$ 12.68$ | $\$ 13.71$ | $\$ 14.82$ |  |
| A06 | $\$ 14.58$ | $\$ 15.76$ | $\$ 17.06$ |  |
| A07 | $\$ 16.77$ | $\$ 18.13$ | $\$ 19.61$ |  |

[^2]THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION Seasonal/Intermittent Pay Schedule
Effective First Full Pay Period in October 2015
Minimum Wage Update and Schedule Adjustments

|  | GRADE | MINIMUM | MIDPOINT | MAXIMUM | INSTRUCTORS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PFAI-920 | N01 | \$9.5500 | \$10.2700 | \$10.9800 | 950 | I |
| PFA II-921 | N02 | \$9.6000 | \$10.5700 | \$11.5300 |  |  |
| PFA III-922 | N03 | \$9.6500 | \$10.8800 | \$12.1100 |  |  |
| PFMA I-930 | N04 | \$9.7000 | \$11.2100 | \$12.7100 | 951 | II |
| PFMA II-931 | N05 | \$9.7500 | \$11.5500 | \$13.3500 |  |  |
|  | N06 | \$9.8000 | \$11.9100 | \$14.0200 |  |  |
| PFMA III-932 | N07 | \$9.8500 | \$12.3200 | \$14.8000 |  |  |
| Help Desk Rep I-933 | N08 | \$10.1194 | \$12.9025 | \$15.6854 | 952 | III |
|  | N09 | \$10.7264 | \$13.6763 | \$16.6263 |  |  |
|  | N10 | \$11.3703 | \$14.4971 | \$17.6242 |  |  |
| Intern I/Playground Manager | N11 | \$12.2800 | \$15.6567 | \$19.0335 | 953 | IV |
| Help Desk Rep II - 934 | N12 | \$13.2626 | \$16.9100 | \$20.5574 |  |  |
| Intern II/Help Desk Rep III-935 | N13 | \$15.2514 | \$19.4455 | \$23.6396 | 954 | V |
|  | N14 | \$17.5395 | \$22.3632 | \$27.1869 |  |  |
| Camp Health Supv - 941 | N15 | \$20.1711 | \$25.7179 | \$31.2647 | 955 | VI |

Approved by the Commission on September 16, 2015

B1 effective 10/11/15
B2 effective 10/4/15

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMIMISSION
Tennis Instructor Pay Schedule
Effective October 21, 2015
New Pay Schedule

|  | GRADE | MINIMUM | MIDPOINT | MAXIMUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tennis Instructor 1 | TI1 | \$9.5500 | \$12.7800 | \$16.0000 |
| Tennis Instructor 2 | TI2 | \$14.0000 | \$18.0000 | \$22.0000 |
| Tennis Instructor 3 | TI3 | \$20.0000 | \$27.0000 | \$34.0000 |
| Tennis Instructor 4 | T14 | \$32.0000 | \$36.0000 | \$40.0000 |

Approved by the Commission October 21, 2015

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMIMISSION Specialty Services Pay Schedule

Effective October 21, 2015
New Pay Schedule

|  | GRADE | MINIMUM | MIDPOINT | MAXIMUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spec Svcs Instructor 1 | SS1 | \$9.5500 | \$15.2800 | \$21.0000 |
| Spec Svcs Instructor 2 | SS2 | \$12.0000 | \$19.0000 | \$26.0000 |
| Spec Svcs Instructor 3 | SS3 | \$15.5000 | \$24.7500 | \$34.0000 |
| Spec Svcs Instructor 4 | SS4 | \$21.0000 | \$33.5000 | \$46.0000 |
| Spec Svcs Instructor 5* | SS5 | \$34.0000 | \$54.5000 | \$75.0000 |

* Use of Specialty Services Instructor 5 requires Human Resources Director approval Approved by the Commission October 21, 2015


## Municipal and County Government Employees Organization (MCGEO) Local 1994, Non-Union Represented and Term Contract Employees <br> Premium Rates Effective January 1, 2015

| SINGLE COVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan | Cost Share \% | Full Monthly Rate | Full BiWeekly Rates | Bi-Weekly Commission Contribution | Bi-Weekly Employee Contribution | \$\$ Change in Employee Contribution From 2014 | Monthly COBRA Rates |
| Caremark Prescription | 85\%/15\% | \$170.00 | \$78.47 | \$66.70 | \$11.77 | \$1.53 | \$173.40 |
| Caremark Prescription - Term Contract | 50\%/50\% | \$170.00 | \$78.47 | \$39.24 | \$39.23 | \$5.08 | \$173.40 |
| CIGNA Open Access Plus In EPO | 80\%/20\% | \$552.00 | \$254.77 | \$203.82 | \$50.95 | \$0.00 | \$563.04 |
| CIGNA OAPIN EPO - Term Contract | 50\%/50\% | \$552.00 | \$254.77 | \$127.39 | \$127.38 | \$0.00 | \$563.04 |
| UnitedHealthcare Choice Plus POS | 80\%/20\% | \$578.00 | \$266.77 | \$213.42 | \$53.35 | \$2.77 | \$589.56 |
| UHC Medicare Complement Plan (LTD only)* | 80\%/20\% | \$245.00 | \$113.08 | \$90.47 | \$22.61 | \$4.61 | \$249.90 |
| UnitedHealthcare Select EPO | 85\%/15\% | \$434.00 | \$200.31 | \$170.27 | \$30.04 | \$0.00 | \$442.68 |
| UHC Select EPO - Term Contract | 50\%/50\% | \$434.00 | \$200.31 | \$100.16 | \$100.15 | \$0.00 | \$442.68 |
| United Concordia Dental | 80\%/20\% | \$36.93 | \$17.05 | \$13.64 | \$3.41 | \$0.00 | \$37.67 |
| Vision Service Plan - Low | 80\%/20\% | \$3.90 | \$1.80 | \$1.44 | \$0.36 | \$0.06 | \$3.98 |
| Vision Service Plan - Moderate | See notes | \$6.94 | \$3.21 | \$1.44 | \$1.77 | \$0.30 | \$7.08 |
| Vision Service Plan - High | See notes | \$10.13 | \$4.68 | \$1.44 | \$3.24 | \$0.54 | \$10.33 |
| TWO-MEMBER COVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caremark Prescription | 85\%/15\% | \$340.00 | \$156.93 | \$133.40 | \$23.53 | \$3.04 | \$346.80 |
| Caremark Prescription - Term Contract | 50\%/50\% | \$340.00 | \$156.93 | \$78.47 | \$78.46 | \$10.15 | \$346.80 |
| CIGNA Open Access Plus in EPO | 80\%/20\% | \$1,104.00 | \$509.54 | \$407.64 | \$101.90 | \$0.00 | \$1,126.08 |
| CIGNA OAPIN EPO - Term Contract | 50\%/50\% | \$1,104.00 | \$509.54 | \$254.77 | \$254.77 | \$0.00 | \$1,126.08 |
| UnitedHealthcare Choice Plus POS | 80\%/20\% | \$1,156.00 | \$533.54 | \$426.84 | \$106.70 | \$5.53 | \$1,179.12 |
| UHC Medicare Complement Plan (LTD only)* | 80\%/20\% | \$490.00 | \$226.16 | \$180.93 | \$45.23 | \$9.23 | \$499.80 |
| UnitedHealthcare Select EPO | 85\%/15\% | \$868.00 | \$400.62 | \$340.53 | \$60.09 | \$0.00 | \$885.36 |
| UHC Select EPO - Term Contract | 50\%/50\% | \$868.00 | \$400.62 | \$200.31 | \$200.31 | \$0.00 | \$885.36 |
| United Concordia Dental | 80\%/20\% | \$73.85 | \$34.09 | \$27.28 | \$6.81 | \$0.00 | \$75.33 |
| Vision Service Plan - Low | 80\%/20\% | \$7.83 | \$3.62 | \$2.90 | \$0.72 | \$0.12 | \$7.99 |
| Vision Service Plan - Moderate | See notes | \$13.89 | \$6.42 | \$2.90 | \$3.52 | \$0.58 | \$14.17 |
| Vision Service Plan - High | See notes | \$20.27 | \$9.36 | \$2.90 | \$6.46 | \$1.06 | \$20.68 |
| FAMILY COVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caremark Prescription | 85\%/15\% | \$510.00 | \$235.39 | \$200.09 | \$35.30 | \$4.57 | \$520.20 |
| Caremark Prescription - Term Contract | 50\%/50\% | \$510.00 | \$235.39 | \$117.70 | \$117.69 | \$15.23 | \$520.20 |
| CIGNA Open Access Plus In EPO | 80\%/20\% | \$1,656.00 | \$764.31 | \$611.45 | \$152.86 | \$0.00 | \$1,689.12 |
| CIGNA OAPIN EPO - Term Contract | 50\% $150 \%$ | \$1,656.00 | \$764.31 | \$382.16 | \$382.15 | \$0.00 | \$1,689.12 |
| UnitedHealthcare Choice Plus POS | 80\%/20\% | \$1,734.00 | \$800.31 | \$640.25 | \$160.06 | \$8.31 | \$1,768.68 |
| UHC Medicare Complement Plan (LTD only) | 80\%/20\% | \$735.00 | \$339.24 | \$271.40 | \$67.84 | \$13.84 | \$749.70 |
| UnitedHealthcare Select EPO | 85\%/15\% | \$1,302.00 | \$600.93 | \$510.80 | \$90.13 | \$0.00 | \$1,328.04 |
| UHC Select EPO - Term Contract | 50\%/50\% | \$1,302.00 | \$600.93 | \$300.47 | \$300.46 | \$0.00 | \$1,328.04 |
| United Concordia Dental | 80\%/20\% | \$110.78 | \$51.13 | \$40.91 | \$10.22 | \$0.00 | \$113.00 |
| Vision Service Plan - Low | 80\%/20\% | \$11.73 | \$5.42 | \$4.34 | \$1.08 | \$0.18 | \$11.96 |
| Vision Service Plan - Moderate | See notes | \$20.84 | \$9.62 | \$4.34 | \$5.28 | \$0.87 | \$21.26 |
| Vision Service Plan - High | See notes | \$30.41 | \$14.04 | \$4.34 | \$9.70 | \$1.60 | \$31.02 |

OTHER BENEFIT PLAN RATES

| CIGNA - Long Term Disability - FOP | $0 \% / 100 \%$ | $\$ 1.44$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Legal Resources - Legal Services | $0 \% / 100 \%$ | $\$ 18.00$ |  | $\$ 0.00$ |  | $\$ 9.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |  |
| Life Insurance | $80 \% / 20 \%$ | $\$ 0.20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AD\&D | $80 \% / 20 \%$ | $\$ 0.03$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

Employees on long term disability pay premiums on a monthly basis. Contact the Health \& Benefits office for the monthly contribution.
Prescription drug coverage is separate from medical plans.
Vision: (Commision pays/ Employee pays) Low Option: 80\%/20\%. Moderate and High Options: $80 \%$ of low plan/Employee pays balance.
Basic Life Insurance: Commission pays $80 \%$, Employee pays $20 \%$; dependent is paid $100 \%$ by employee. Rates are $\$ 1000$ of eligible salary.
Cigna Long Term Disability: Rates are per $\$ 100$ of monthly benefit.
Legal Resources: Employee pays $100 \% . \$ 9.00$ bi-weekly deducted on the 1 st and 2 nd pay periods of the month.


[^0]:    *In comparing the number of employees in the Para-Professional and Office/Clerical employment categories from FY14 to FY15, large irregularities were identified in the total counts for these categories only. This is the result of the Human Resources Information System conversion from ePersonality to Lawson. Additional research and analysis will be conducted in FY16 to calibrate counts in these categories.

[^1]:    Total Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation Employees: 965

[^2]:    Approved by Commission on September 16, 2015

