MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK & PLANNING COMMISSION

Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation

Internal Control Review Report Number: PG-012-2015-B

January 30, 2015

Distribution:

Executive Committee

Elizabeth Hewlett

Casey Anderson

Patricia Barney

Audit Committee

Norman Dreyfuss

Rhea Reed

John Shoaff

Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

Adrian Gardner

Ronnie Gathers

Stacey Pearson

Wanda Ramos

Debbie Tyner

Joseph Zimmerman

Office of Internal Audit

Renee Kenney

Robert Feeley

Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission Office of Internal Audit 6611 Kenilworth Ave., Suite 403 Riverdale, MD 20737

Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation

Internal Control Review Table of Contents

Α.	Background	1
В.	Scope, Objective and Methodology of the Audit	2
C.	Overall Conclusions	3
D.	Detailed Commentary and Recommendations	4

Internal Control Audit Report

A. Background

(Center) is managed within Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation. A Facility Director is responsible for the day to day management of the Center, and reports to the The Center features a gymnasium, pre-school room, lounge area, multi-purpose room, dance room, fitness room, pantry, photography dark room, office, and front lobby.

The Office of Internal Audit completed a limited review over the issuance of a specific Master Agreement for Services Schedule as part of a fraud, waste, and abuse review. During our review, we identified opportunities to strengthen internal controls at the Center.

Internal Control Audit Report

B. Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

The purpose of the review was to determine if vendor documentation was properly executed and submitted to the Purchasing Division. The scope of the contract review at Center included, but was not limited to, the following audit procedures:

- interviewing pertinent employees at and the Purchasing Division;
- examining relevant contract documents; and
- reviewing applicable Commission Practices.

The period covered in this review was October 31, 2013 – November 7, 2014.

This audit was conducted in accordance with *Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS)*.

C. Overall Conclusions

This audit was conducted in accordance with *Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS)*. The results of our evaluation and testing procedures indicate deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls for the Center.

We believe all weaknesses identified and communicated are correctable and that management's responses to all recommendations satisfactorily address the concerns. It is the responsibility of management to weigh possible additional costs of implementing our recommendations in terms of benefits to be derived and the relative risks involved.

We wish to express our appreciation to the Purchasing Division's management and staff for the cooperation and courtesies extended during the course of our review.

Renee M. Kenney, CPA, CIA, CISA

Chief Internal Auditor

Bence M Kenney

January 30, 2015

Conclusion Definitions

Satisfactory	No major weaknesses were identified in the design or operation of internal control procedures.
Deficiency	A deficiency in the design or operation of an internal control procedure(s) that could adversely affect an operating unit's ability to safeguard assets, comply with laws and regulations, and ensure transactions are properly executed and recorded on a timely basis.
Significant Deficiency	A deficiency in the design or operation of an internal control procedure(s) which adversely affects an operating unit's ability to safeguard assets, comply with laws and regulations, and ensure transactions are properly executed and reported. This deficiency is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by management.
Material	A deficiency in the design or operation of an internal control procedure(s) which may
Weakness	result in a material misstatement of the Commission's financial statements or material impact to the Commission.

D. Detailed Commentary and Recommendations

1. <u>Increase Employee Training and Awareness</u>

Agreement for Services Schedule as witness, even though he/she did not witness the vendor execute the form. The employee signed the form at the request of his/her supervisor.

Risk/Criteria: The Master Agreement for Services Schedule is a legal document that details a vendors specific requirements for teaching a class at a specified Commission facility (e.g. dates and times of courses, payment details, etc.) Obtaining a witness/attest signature protects the Maryland-National Park and Planning Commission (Commission) from possible claims by vendors doing business with the Commission. Misrepresenting a signature increases the possibility of claims against the Commission.

Recommendation: We recommend management increase employee training and awareness for all employees responsible for executing legal documents. Possible topics for training may include:

- ethics and abuse of power;
- reporting fraud, waste, and abuse; and
- the Commission's Purchasing Policy.

The Office of Internal Audit is available to assist in employee training and awareness.

Risk: High

Management Response: Management concurs.

management conducted a refresher training for all career staff who are responsible for executing legal documents. The Office of Internal Audit and the Purchasing Division assisted in the training. The topics covered included:

- Fraud, Waste, and Abuse
- Commission's Purchasing Policy
- Master Agreements (MAIS)
- Time Card Procedures

- Ethics & Abuse of Power
- Contracts
- Petty Cash
- Cash Handling Procedures

Expected Completion Date: Completed January 13, 2015

Follow Up Date: April 2015

Internal Control Audit Report

2. Ensure Timely Payments to Vendors

Issue: A vendor was allowed to teach a recurring dance course at the Center from January 2014 through October 2014 without the proper forms in place to ensure payment. Invoices were sent to the Center in March, June, and July of 2014 and were not properly acted upon.

Risk/Criteria: Allowing a vendor to teach at a Commission facility, without executing the required contract documentation and subsequently holding vendor invoices may result in financial and reputational damage to the Commission.

Recommendation: Center management should strengthen oversight and monitoring of fiscal activities. Past due invoices should be thoroughly investigated to identify possible administrative oversights. Budgets and monthly expenses should be reviewed for missing payments.

Risk: Medium

Management Response: Management concurs. Internal procedures will be put in place where Regional Managers will be required to check <u>quarterly</u> that all contractors or employees teaching classes have been paid. This will assure that payments are being made timely, regularly and everyone teaching is a Commission employee or a Commission contractor.

Expected Completion Date: January 2015

Follow Up Date: April 2015